

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,871	06/27/2003	John M. de Larios	LAM2P422	LAM2P422 7473	
25920 MADTINE DE	7590 05/16/200 NILLA & GENCAREI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWA		STINSON, F	STINSON, FRANKIE L		
SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, 0117 1000		1746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			^
	1	ł	^
1	1.	7	
1	•		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/608,871	DE LARIOS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2007.					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23,25-32 and 34-37</u> is/are pending i	n the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With Consideration.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-23, 25-32 and 34-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	dission requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/608,871

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-23, 25-32 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Kittle (U. S. Pat. No. 6,090,217). In view of Patel et al. (U. S. pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0171239.

Re claims 1, 13, 20 and 30, note that Kittle discloses a method/apparatus for removing a layer from a substrate surface, comprising:

providing at least one encapsulating transport (foam/bubble), the encapsulating transport containing at least some reactive gas ("air" and "other gases" col. 6, lines 18-24);

applying the at least one encapsulating transport to the layer, the layer being a chemically reactive layer (note the substrate is "chemically treated", col. 5, line 43); and wherein the encapsulating transport ruptures on the chemically reactive layer and releases the reactive gas onto the chemically reactive layer to facilitate removal of the layer from the substrate surface. Also note the reducing agent (40, DI water), the organic material (col. 1, lines 47-62), the oxygen, nitrogen and argon in that air is composed of these gases and other gases (see "Air Composition", PHYSLINK.COM, see attached), the mixer (36), the application unit being a container (18 see fig. 7) and the sparger (see fig. 8, 9) that differ from the claims only in the recitation of the reactive gas including ozone. Patel (see paragraph 0114) discloses that it is old and well known

Art Unit: 1746

to employ an encapsulant (foam-based cleaning material) where the same includes a reactive gas namely ozone. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the encapsulation transport in Kittle, to include ozone as taught by Patel, since Kittle discloses that other gases may be employed as noted above. Ozone is widely used in the semiconductor art to enhance the treatment process due to the active free radical. Re claims 2-12, 14-19, 21-23, 25-29, 31, 32 and 34-37, Kittle and Patel disclose the gases bubbles, and other fluids as claimed

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/608,871

Art Unit: 1746

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746

Page 4